United States District Court Case 4:23-mj-708800MAGRN PROMODE 50LIFORM 11/27/23 Page 1 of 1

OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 4:23 - MJ - 708E0 - MAG	
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	D
Antayne Bullock Defendant(s).	NOV 27 2023 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CO	
Trial Act from \(\ldot\) \(\ldot\) 27 \(\ldot\) 23 to \(\ldot\) continuance outweigh the best interest of the second of the seco	record on II 27 23, the court excludes time under the Speedy of CALL and finds that the ends of justice served by the he public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ng and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	IFORNIA
Failure to grant a continuar See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	ce would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (B)(i).	
defendants, the natu or law, that it is unreasonal	complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact le to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	
Failure to grant a continuar taking into account the exe	ce would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, cise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuar counsel's other scheduled of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	ce would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ase commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuar necessary for effective prep See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	ce would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aration, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (B)(iv).	
disposition of criminal case paragraph and — based on the time limits for a prelimitation extending the 30-day time	endant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt s, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending mary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	,
IT IS SO ORDERED.	A DA HILADIO	
DATED: 11 27 23 STIPULATED: Attorney for Defe	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge Assistant United States Attorney	
Tittollie, for Bole		